From: Dida Kutz [mailto:didalk@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2006 8:26 AM
To: MLPAComments@resources.ca.gov

**Cc:** mlpa@livingseaimages.com **Subject:** MLPAComments: S Package

## Dear Sirs/Madames:

Via the MLPA Regional Stakeholder Group, it has just come to my attention that a vastly inferior package to Package 2, known as the "Staff Package," is being considered. As a long-time diver of the region, both recreationally, and in the past as a research diver with CA DFG, I am extremely interested in the outcome of the MLPA process and thank you in advance for a chance to be heard.

It is imperative that Package 2 be chosen in the end as it is vastly superior to Package 2. Three points to consider are:

- 1. Because the area from Breakwater to Lovers' Point is one of the most heavily dived areas of the country, it seems imperative that the move to make this area a non-comsumptive zone, in the guise of Ricketts Reserve, not be confounded.
- 2. Although Carmel's Pinnacles' are stupendous, they are too small an area to positively impact divers either socially or economically.
- 3. Package S allows all recreational fishing, including contests, in the heavily-dived areas of Pacific Grove and Carmel Bay Marine Conservation Areas. This is abhorrent.

Cheers-

+'\*'+. .+'\*'+. .+'\*'+. .+'\*'+. .+'\*'+. .+'\*'+. .+'\*'+. .+'\*'+. Dida Kutz www.didakutz.com www.BluePlanetDivers.org '+.,.+' '+.,.+' '+.,.+' '+.,.+'